

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/682,895 | 10/30/2001 | Peter M .Gulvin | 109177 | 2331 |
| 27074 | 7590 04/18/2003 | | | |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 | | | SORKIN, DAVID L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1723 | 16 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _ | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application N . | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/682,895 | .GULVIN, PETER M | | | | |
| Offic Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | David L. Sorkin | 1723 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 h | <u> March 2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application | l . | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept | oted or b) objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | | oved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | • | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | i prionty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | 1)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal I | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/682,895

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-17 and 18-24, drawn to multi-layer filter system classified in class 210, subclass 490 and a polysilicon filter classified in class 210, subclass 500.21, respectively.
 - II. Claims 25-29, drawn to a method of filtering using a downstream filter, classified in class 210, subclass 650.
 - III. Claims 30-32, drawn to a method of making a filter, classified in class 216, subclass 56.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). The product could by used at or to cover an inlet, rather than downstream of an inlet.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the filter could be made by more directly

Application/Control Number: 09/682,895 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

etching the substrate, without forming a sacrificial layer, for example by irradiating the substrate with heavy ion and etching away the tracks made by the ions.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and process of using the product. However, as both the process of making the process of using are distinct from the product as discussed above, the process of making and process of using are distinct from one another. See MPEP 806.05(i).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is different, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- A telephone call was made to Klifton L. Kime on 11 April 2003 to request an oral 8. election to the above restriction requirement; however, Mr. Kime requested a written action.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 703-308-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

David Sorkin

April 17, 2003